

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/671,893 09/26/2003		09/26/2003	Michael J. Tessmer	5035.143	8000
34282	7590 04/15/2005			EXAMINER	
		OY STREICH LA	MOHANDE	MOHANDESI, JILA M	
SUITE 1700	CHUKC	A VENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TUCSON, A	Z 85701	1-1621	3728		

DATE MAILED: 04/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	
~	
$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}$	
$\overline{}$	•

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/671,893	TESSMER ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Jila M Mohandesi	3728						
The MAILING DATE of this communi Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30.1 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states are reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repunication.)) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI will, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <u>26 September 2003</u> .							
	b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the apulation 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-16 is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	withdrawn from consideration.							
Application Papers								
·	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objec	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` '						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to		•						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s)								
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	4) Interview Sur							
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P1B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Faper No(s)/Mail Date 09-26-2003. 		Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) .						

Application/Control Number: 10/671,893 Page 2

Art Unit: 3728

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - 1. Claims 1-6, drawn to a container, classified in class 206, subclass 524.7.
 - II. Claims 7-16, drawn to a process for filling and pressurizing a pouch, classified in class 493, subclass unknown.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the pouch can be made from a material other than water-soluble.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with applicant's attorney Mr. Milczarek-Desai on April 11, 2005 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of I, claims 1-6. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in

Application/Control Number: 10/671,893

Art Unit: 3728

replying to this Office action. Claims 7-16 withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Alexandre Catlin et al. (2002/0169092) herein after Alexandre. Alexandre discloses a container for a product for dissolution in a liquid, comprising: a sealed pouch made of a material that is soluble in said liquid; a product contained in a pouch; and a gas contained in the pouch in sufficient quantity to cause the pouch to be resilient at ambient conditions. See page 15, paragraphe [0181].

With respect to claim 3, see page 8, paragraph [0097] which teaches the pouch being made of polyvinyl alcohols.

Application/Control Number: 10/671,893 Page 4

Art Unit: 3728

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alexandre.

With respect to claim 6, Paragraph [0181] that the ratio of the air bubble diameter to the maximum lateral dimension of the pouch will affect the compressibility of the pouch. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the ration of the air bubble diameter to the maximum lateral dimension of the pouch, which in turn will inherently affect the pressure within the pouch, and the compressibility of the pouch.

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Shown are pouches analogous to applicant's instant invention.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jila M Mohandesi whose telephone number is (571) 272-4558. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30-4:00 (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3728

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JILA M. MOHANDESI PRIMARY EXAMINER Jila M Mohandesi Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728

Dr. M.M

JMM April 14, 2005